1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 2:22-cv-07957-FLA-MAA) JULIA HUBBARD, ET AL. Plaintiffs, 12 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 13 v. Y MITCHELL'S MOTION TO **DISMISS [DKT. 76]** 14 TRAMMEL CROW, ET AL., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 On March 31, 2023, Defendant Cody Mitchell filed a Motion to Dismiss for 21 Lack of Personal Jurisdiction and Failure to State a Claim. 22 Cody Mitchell lacks minimum contacts with California, has not purposefully 23 availed himself to the law and protections of the of California, and does not live in 24 California. Further, Texas is an alternative forum for under 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) 25 thereby negating the need to grant nationwide jurisdiction to hale Cody Mitchell into 26 this Court. 27 The court, having considered Plaintiff's Motion and finding good cause 28 therefor, hereby GRANTS the Motion and ORDERS as follows:

Case 5:23-cv-00580-FB Document 81-1 Filed 02/27/23 Page 2 of 2

1	1. All claims against Cody Mitchell are DISMISSED,	
2		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4		
5	Dated: February 24, 2023	
6		FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA United States District Judge
7		Since States District taage
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I	